	Case 2:21-cv-01061-KJM-KJN Docume	ent 13 Filed 08/19/22 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MIGUEL A. RAMIREZ,	No. 2:21-cv-1061 KJM KJN P	
12	Petitioner,		
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	B. KIBLER,		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas		
18	corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as		
19	provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On May 9, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were		
21	served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings		
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party filed objections to the		
23	findings and recommendations.		
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,		
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed		
26	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law		
27	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo	by both the district court and [the appellate] court	
28	/////		
	i	1	

	Case 2:21-cv-01061-KJM-KJN Document 13 Filed 08/19/22 Page 2 of 2	
1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed May 9, 2022 are adopted;	
5	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and	
6	3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C.	
7	§ 2253.	
8	DATED: August 18, 2022.	
9	100 00	
10	Mulle	
11	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12	· ·	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		